UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/576,923	02/26/2007	Eugenio Longo	D-43685-01-US	9118
Thomas C. Lag	7590 11/09/201 aly	EXAMINER		
Law Department			HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE	
Cryovac, Inc. Post Office Box 464			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Duncan, SC 293	334	3781		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/09/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/576,923	LONGO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ROBIN HYLTON	3781				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u> :	Sentember 2010					
	, 					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice direct	Ex parte Quayre, 1999 O.B. 11, 40	50 O.G. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
and canages to reconstant and						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to by the □	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 H S C & 119/a	_(d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	in priority under 55 G.G. & 115(a) (d) 61 (1).				
·—	ots have been received					
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
	• •					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
-/						

Art Unit: 3781

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it exceeds a single paragraph. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clarke (GB 2.382.569) in view of Hekal (US 5.634.567).

Clarke discloses a rigid or semi-rigid container assembly (10, 11,14) comprising a support (10) and a frame (12), wherein the support (10) comprises a peripheral flange (16) outwardly extending from the support (10) and an open mouth (see figures), and the frame (12) comprises a rim (see figures 2 and 4) which is shaped to cooperate with the flange (16) to be releasably secured onto the support (10) when the container assembly (10,12,14) is in a closed state, wherein the frame defines a central opening (see figures) which leaves the open mouth of the support uncovered by the frame when the container assembly is in the closed state, and the open mouth of the support remains uncovered in the closed state so that products can be loaded onto the support through the central opening of the frame (see claims 8 and 9). Clarke does not disclose the rim of the frame (12) extends only over a fraction of the flange (16), thus leaving part of the flange (16) not covered by the rim of the frame (12).

Hekal teaches it is known to provide a container assembly wherein a rim (22) of a frame (18) extends only over a fraction of a support flange (14), thus leaving part of the flange (14) not covered by the rim of the frame (18).

Art Unit: 3781

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of the rim of the frame extending only over a fraction of the flange, thus leaving part of the flange not covered by the rim of the frame of Clarke. Doing so reduces the amount of material needed to form the frame rim and provides more contact between the film and the frame once the film is placed to cover the openings.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Black et al. (US 6,112,928) or Meyers (US 4,183,458).

Clarke as modified discloses the claimed container assembly except for the frame being incomplete and extending around a major portion of the perimeter of the support.

Black and Meyers both disclose it is known to provide a container assembly having a support and frame that is formed such that the frame is incomplete and extends around a major portion of the perimeter of the support.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the container assembly such that the frame being incomplete and extending around a major portion of the perimeter of the support as taught by Black and Meyers, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. *Howard v. Detroit Stove Works*, 150 U.S. 164 (1893). Doing so provides a hinged container assembly that does not require a separate mold and assembly operation for the support and frame.

Art Unit: 3781

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 6. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.
- 7. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720/80 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720/80 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

Art Unit: 3781

8. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

Patent a	I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Seriand Trademark Office via fax number 571-273-8300 on the da	 is being facsimiled to Tv:	he U.S
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate		
	Signature		
	Date		

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday, **EXCEPT** Wednesday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick, can be reached on (571) 272-4561.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382
- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Power of Attorney or Change of Address (via Applicant Assistance Unit) 571-272-4000
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199
- Internet PTO-Home Page http://www.uspto.gov

November 4, 2010

/Robin A. Hylton/ Robin A. Hylton Primary Examiner GAU 3781